



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,317	02/08/2001	Tsuguhide Sakata	1232-4681 4553		
7590 07/14/2004			EXAMINER		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			ENG, GEORGE		
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2643	2643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/779,317	SAKATA, TSUGUHIDE
navisory neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit
	George Eng	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>		
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>	on(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5.   ∑ The a)   ☐ affidavit, b)  ☐ exhibit, or c)  ∑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b)  disapproved by th	e Examiner.
O. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•
0. Other:		
BEST AVAILABI	LE COPY	George Eng Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2643

Application/Control Number: 09/779,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/2004 (paper no. 15) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that either individually or in combinations of Clapp, Rodriguez and Kato fail to teach or suggest the newly claimed features of the present invention, Clapp clearly teaches control commands, i.e., instructions, being generated by application software running in the external data processor (72, figure 5) during the second operation mode (col. 7 lines 30-65) as the communication device connected with the external data processor, and the communication device providing stand-alone video capability when the communication device is not connected to the external data processor, (col. 7 lines 17-29) so that the communication device of Clapp is capable of automatically transiting to the stand-alone video capability, i.e., first operation mode, from the second operation mode when the external data processor is disconnected, or the application running in the external data processor is terminated. Thus, one skill in the art would recognize the communication device of Clapp capable of automatically selecting the first operation mode and the second operation mode according to the connection status between the communication device and the external processor. Note the claimed language does not clearly define how the system automatically switches back and forth between the different modes. Thus, Clapp, as well as the combination of Clapp and Rodriguez, is enough to reject the broad claimed limitations.